

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,830	01/22/2002	Heinrich Lang	LMX-69-CON	6532	
McNAIR LAW	7590 05/21/200 FIRM	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 1082		SHAFER, RICKY D			
GREENVILLE	S, SC 29603-0827		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2872		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	05/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				÷××
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,830		LANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ricky D. Sha		2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex to cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this co	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non	r formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 11-25 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-6 and 11-17 is/are of 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 18-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn fro			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02/12/2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cf	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been ts have been ority documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pace Other:	ate	·

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/053,830 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/05/2007 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 18 and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sillmann ('925).

Sillmann discloses a rearview mirror assembly comprising a support structure (1, 2, 9, 19, 33) for mounting the mirror assembly to a vehicle, the support structure including a first part (9) having a substantially permanent connection to the vehicle (via element 2) and a second part (19), wherein the second part of the support structure is disposed on a support arm (4, 33) having a mirror (7), an adjustment mechanism (see the pivotable connection shown in Fig. 8) including a snap in detent (6, 32, 70), a key activated locking mechanism (22 and 25-27) including a key cylinder (25, 26) and a rotatable latch member (22) disposed on the support structure for selectively locking together the first and second parts of the support structure and a removable cover (1), note figures 1 to 9 along with associated description thereof, except for the key

Art Unit: 2872

activated locking mechanism of the locking mechanism being disposed on the first part of the support structure.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rearrange and/or reverse the location of locking mechanism of Sillmann such that the key activated locking mechanism including a key cylinder (25,26) and a latch member (22) is positioned on the first part of the support structure, instead of being located the second part of support structure, in order to increase stability, since it has been held that rearranging parts and/or the mere reversal of parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. Note In re Japikse, 86 U.S.P.Q. 70; In re Einstein, 8 U.S.P.Q. 167; and In re Kuhle, 188 U.S.P.Q. 7.

As to the limitations that the latch member is hook-shaped, it is well known to use hookshaped latches in an analogous art of locking mechanisms for the purpose of preventing unauthorized entry/removal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the latch member of Sillmann to include a hook, as is commonly used and employed in lock art, in order to increase the strength of the locking mechanism.

As to the limitations that the snap-in detent includes a spring element, it is well known to use spring biased universal ball and socket joints in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of adjusting a mirror with respect to a support structure.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify any one of the snap in detents (2, 6, 32 and/or 70) of Sillmann to

Page 4

include a spring biased ball and socket joint, as is commonly used and employed in art, in order to reduce vibrations.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1 and 18-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,554,436. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application (10/053,830) discloses no additional invention or discovery other than what was already claimed and patented in U.S. Patent 6,554,436 or what would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

U.S. Patent ('436) discloses a rearview mirror assembly for a vehicle comprising a support structure including a first part (clamp receptacle) and a second part (insertable component) for mounting the mirror assembly to a vehicle, wherein the second part of the support structure is disposed on a support arm having a mirror and a key activated locking mechanism disposed on the support structure for selectively locking together the first and second parts of the support structure, except for the key activated locking mechanism includes a key cylinder and a hook shaped latch member.

It is well known to use key activated locking mechanisms having a key cylinder and a hook shaped latch member in an analogous art of locking mechanisms for the purpose of preventing unauthorized entry/removal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the key activated locking mechanism of U.S. Patent ('436) to include a typical key cylinder and hook latch member, as is commonly used and employed in the lock art, in order to prevent unauthorized removal of the support arm and mirror.

As to the limitations of claims 18 and 21-24, it is well known to use spring biased universal ball and socket joints in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of adjusting a mirror with respect to a support structure.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle end of the support arm of U.S. Patent ('436) to include a spring biased universal ball and socket joint, as is commonly used and employed in art, in order to adjust the mirror with respect to the vehicle with reduce vibrations.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,830

Art Unit: 2872

6. Claims 1 and 25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,352,231. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application (10/053,830) discloses no additional invention or discovery other than what was already claimed and patented in U.S. Patent 6,352,231 or what would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Page 6

U.S. Patent ('231) discloses a rearview mirror assembly for a vehicle comprising a support structure including a first part (clamp reception fixture) and a second part (insertable component) for mounting the mirror assembly to a vehicle, wherein the second part of the support structure serves as a support arm for a rearview mirror and a locking device having a locking cylinder and a detent hook disposed on the support structure for selectively locking together the first and second parts of the support structure, except for the locking device includes a key activated locking mechanism.

It is well known to use key activated locking mechanisms having a key cylinder and a hook shaped latch member in an analogous art of locking mechanisms for the purpose of preventing unauthorized entry/removal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the locking device of U.S. Patent ('231) to include a typical key activated locking mechanism, as is commonly used and employed in the lock art, in order to prevent unauthorized removal of the support arm and mirror.

7. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Reference numeral

Application/Control Number: 10/053,830

Art Unit: 2872

Page 7

33, disclosed on page 7, line 10 of the specification has not been illustrated. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RDS

May 14, 2007